

Feb 2, 2011

Brian Avants, Nick Tustison, Gang Song, Michael Stauffer, Shreyas Seshamani, James C. Gee

Penn Image Computing and Sciences Laboratory University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA.





 Hans Johnson: why does Mattes MI fail in ITK v4 when the same code worked in ITK320? BSplines?

- Hans Johnson: why does Mattes MI fail in ITK v4 when the same code worked in ITK320? BSplines?
- Evaluation studies: these motivate students and benchmark progress. Data with quantitation attached.

- Hans Johnson: why does Mattes MI fail in ITK v4 when the same code worked in ITK320? BSplines?
- Evaluation studies: these motivate students and benchmark progress. Data with quantitation attached.
- New problems: interesting data that existing approaches do not easily handle (i.e. use cases).

- Hans Johnson: why does Mattes MI fail in ITK v4 when the same code worked in ITK320? BSplines?
- Evaluation studies: these motivate students and benchmark progress. Data with quantitation attached.
- New problems: interesting data that existing approaches do not easily handle (i.e. use cases).
- Slicer: big projects that we want to support. Kilian asks "why is resampling taking longer than registration?"

- Hans Johnson: why does Mattes MI fail in ITK v4 when the same code worked in ITK320? BSplines?
- Evaluation studies: these motivate students and benchmark progress. Data with quantitation attached.
- New problems: interesting data that existing approaches do not easily handle (i.e. use cases).
- Slicer: big projects that we want to support. Kilian asks "why is resampling taking longer than registration?"
- New theory: interesting ideas we should be able to easily implement. E.g. LDDMM, longitudinal mapping, multivariate problems ...

Evaluate brain overlap in neuroimages



Evaluate brain overlap in neuroimages



Default ANTs parameters and optimization.

Evaluate brain overlap in neuroimages



Default ANTs parameters and optimization.

Default FSL parameters and optimization.





В

А



А





















Important for longitudinal studies (Yushkevich, et al, 2010)

Object to object metric



Requires a generic iterator type.









Virtual domain a critical component. Downsampling, more.





Virtual domain a critical component. Downsampling, more. Tx1 and Tx2 are composite transforms.





Virtual domain a critical component. Downsampling, more. Tx1 and Tx2 are composite transforms. Tx3 (final output) may be a composite of Tx1 and Tx2.

Wednesday, February 2, 2011



Virtual domain a critical component. Downsampling, more. Tx1 and Tx2 are composite transforms. Tx3 (final output) may be a composite of Tx1 and Tx2.

Wednesday, February 2, 2011

Composite transform



 $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \mathcal{T}_2 \circ \mathcal{T}_3 \circ \mathcal{T}_4$



Accompanied by composite I/O. Details need to be worked out.

./ITK/Code/Review/itkDeformationFieldTransform.h

./ITK/Code/Review/itkDeformationFieldTransform.h

./ITK/Code/Review/ itkBSplineDeformationFieldTransform.h

./ITK/Code/Review/itkDeformationFieldTransform.h

./ITK/Code/Review/ itkBSplineDeformationFieldTransform.h

Both can be applied through ResampleImageFilter.

./ITK/Code/Review/itkDeformationFieldTransform.h

./ITK/Code/Review/

itkBSplineDeformationFieldTransform.h

Both can be applied through ResampleImageFilter. Should therefore remove WarpImageFilter

./ITK/Code/Review/itkDeformationFieldTransform.h

./ITK/Code/Review/

itkBSplineDeformationFieldTransform.h

Both can be applied through ResampleImageFilter. Should therefore remove WarpImageFilter

This helps us unify the PDE and linear registration frameworks.

Registration machinery code 1



typedef itk::Transform<double,ImageDimension> TransformType; typedef itk::IdentityTransform<double,ImageDimension> IdentityTransformType; typedef itk::CompositeTransform<double,ImageDimension> CompositeTransformType; typedef itk::DeformationTransform<double,ImageDimension> TranslationTransformType; typedef itk::DeformationFieldTransform<double,ImageDimension> DeformationTransformType; typedef DeformationTransformType::DeformationFieldType FieldType; IdentityTransformType::Pointer transformF = IdentityTransformType::New(); DeformationTransformType::Pointer transformM1 = DeformationTransformType::New(); TranslationTransformType::Pointer transformM2 = TranslationTransformType::New(); CompositeTransformType::Pointer transformM3 = TranslationTransformType::New();



typedef itk::DemonsImageToImageMetric<ImageType, ImageType> ObjectMetric ObjectMetricType::Pointer objectMetric = ObjectMetricType::New();

/** Set up the virtual reference space */

objectMetric->SetVirtualDomainSpacing(fixed_image->GetSpacing()); objectMetric->SetVirtualDomainSize(fixed_image->GetLargestPossibleRegion objectMetric->SetVirtualDomainOrigin(fixed_image->GetOrigin()); objectMetric->SetVirtualDomainDirection(fixed_image->GetDirection());


transformM1->SetDeformationField(field);
transformM1->SetInverseDeformationField(field);

transformM->AddTransform(transformM1);
transformM->AddTransform(transformM2);

/** Define the input images and their transforms */
objectMetric->SetFixedImage(fixed_image);
objectMetric->SetMovingImage(moving_image);
objectMetric->SetFixedImageTransform(transformF);
objectMetric->SetMovingImageTransform(transformM);

/** Compute one iteration of the metric */
objectMetric->ComputeMetricAndDerivative();



this->m_VirtualImage->TransformIndexToPhysicalPoint(ItV.GetIndex(),mappedPoint);

```
// Use generic transform to compute mapped position
mappedFixedPoint = this->m_FixedImageTransform->TransformPoint(mappedPoint);
mappedMovingPoint = this->m_MovingImageTransform->TransformPoint(mappedPoint);
if ( !this->m_FixedInterpolator->IsInsideBuffer(mappedFixedPoint) ||
    !this->m_MovingInterpolator->IsInsideBuffer(mappedMovingPoint) )
    sampleOk=false;
if ( sampleOk )
    {
        double_metnicural=this=>ComputeLocalContributionToMetnicAndDenivative(mappedFixedPoint)
```

double metricval=this->ComputeLocalContributionToMetricAndDerivative(mappedFixedPoint,

Automated parameter setting









Takes the registration machinery object as input and sets the optimizer parameters according to a TBD algorithm.





what is the best "average domain"?







what is the best "average domain"?





what is the best "average domain"?

Changes to transform + metric



Changes to transform + metric



GetLocalJacobian



GetLocalJacobian

GetLocalContributionToMetricAndDerivative



GetLocalJacobian

GetLocalContributionToMetricAndDerivative

Revised framework supports dense metric computation with or without use of Jacobian



GetLocalJacobian

GetLocalContributionToMetricAndDerivative

Revised framework supports dense metric computation with or without use of Jacobian

Critical for efficient deformable registration

Neighborhood correlation metric







Users sets the window radius.



Users sets the window radius.

Multi-threaded. MI, MSQ, Global CC goals. NMI?



Users sets the window radius.

Multi-threaded. MI, MSQ, Global CC goals. NMI?

Is currently on Github.



Users sets the window radius.

Multi-threaded. MI, MSQ, Global CC goals. NMI?

Is currently on Github.

Independent metric development needs to be unified.

Wednesday, February 2, 2011

Transducer function (@ github)



$$E(\phi, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}) = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} S_{i}(\phi, I_{i}, J_{i})$$

$$\phi \in Diff_{0}$$

 $S_i \in \{ MI, CC, \| \cdot \|^2,$ $PSE, \| \cdot \|_{Dev}, JTB \}$ $\phi T = (D\phi)T(\phi)$



Add to the resample image filter.

$$E(\phi, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}) = \sum_{i} \lambda_i S_i(\phi, I_i, J_i)$$

$$\phi \in Diff_0$$

$$S_i \in \{ MI, CC, \| \cdot \|^2,$$
$$PSE, \| \cdot \|_{Dev}, JTB \}$$
$$\phi T = (D\phi)T(\phi)$$



Transducer function (@ github)

Add to the resample image filter. Need to make efficient for the case when Transducer=Identity

$$E(\phi, \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J}) = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} S_{i}(\phi, I_{i}, J_{i})$$

$$\phi \in Diff_{0}$$

 $S_i \in \{ MI, CC, \| \cdot \|^2,$ $PSE, \| \cdot \|_{Dev}, JTB \}$ $\phi T = (D\phi)T(\phi)$









A couple classes to discuss: •BSplineScatteredData



- BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up



- BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - •core machinery for all BSpline derived work



- BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - core machinery for all BSpline derived work
- BSplineDeformableTransform



- BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - core machinery for all BSpline derived work
- BSplineDeformableTransform
 - •this is a revision of the old class



- A couple classes to discuss:
 - BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - core machinery for all BSpline derived work
 - BSplineDeformableTransform
 - •this is a revision of the old class
 - took out the bulk transform



- A couple classes to discuss:
 - BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - core machinery for all BSpline derived work
 - •BSplineDeformableTransform
 - •this is a revision of the old class
 - took out the bulk transform
 - redefining how users sets b-spline grid in a more intuitive way



- A couple classes to discuss:
 - BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - core machinery for all BSpline derived work
 - BSplineDeformableTransform
 - •this is a revision of the old class
 - took out the bulk transform
 - redefining how users sets b-spline grid in a more intuitive way
 - •BSplineDeformationFieldTransform or BSplineDenseDeformationFieldTransform



- A couple classes to discuss:
 - BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - core machinery for all BSpline derived work
 - BSplineDeformableTransform
 - •this is a revision of the old class
 - took out the bulk transform
 - redefining how users sets b-spline grid in a more intuitive way
 - •BSplineDeformationFieldTransform or BSplineDenseDeformationFieldTransform
 - •BSplineTransformInitializer (rename this)


B-splines in the Insight Toolkit

- A couple classes to discuss:
 - BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - core machinery for all BSpline derived work
 - BSplineDeformableTransform
 - •this is a revision of the old class
 - took out the bulk transform
 - redefining how users sets b-spline grid in a more intuitive way
 - •BSplineDeformationFieldTransform or BSplineDenseDeformationFieldTransform
 - •BSplineTransformInitializer (rename this)
 - •fixed bug in domain definition



B-splines in the Insight Toolkit

- A couple classes to discuss:
 - BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - core machinery for all BSpline derived work
 - BSplineDeformableTransform
 - •this is a revision of the old class
 - took out the bulk transform
 - redefining how users sets b-spline grid in a more intuitive way
 - •BSplineDeformationFieldTransform or BSplineDenseDeformationFieldTransform
 - •BSplineTransformInitializer (rename this)
 - •fixed bug in domain definition
 - nick generalized the initialization to N-dimensions



B-splines in the Insight Toolkit

- A couple classes to discuss:
 - BSplineScatteredData
 - nick cleverly sped this up
 - core machinery for all BSpline derived work
 - BSplineDeformableTransform
 - •this is a revision of the old class
 - took out the bulk transform
 - redefining how users sets b-spline grid in a more intuitive way
 - •BSplineDeformationFieldTransform or BSplineDenseDeformationFieldTransform
 - •BSplineTransformInitializer (rename this)
 - •fixed bug in domain definition
 - nick generalized the initialization to N-dimensions
 - •Rename these transforms?



itkBSplineDeformableTransform (lines 179-187)

// Set the valid region

- // If the grid spans the interval [start, last].
- // The valid interval for evaluation is [start+offset, last-offset]
- // when spline order is even.
- // The valid interval for evaluation is [start+offset, last-offset)
- // when spline order is odd.
- // Where offset = floor(spline / 2).
- // Note that the last pixel is not included in the valid region
- // with odd spline orders.



itkBSplineDeformableTransform (lines 179-187)



This is slightly different from what I understand about B-spline domains.



 C^0 splines SplineOrder = 1

> C^2 splines SplineOrder = 3

 C^1 splines SplineOrder = 2





 C^2 splines SplineOrder = 3

 C^1 splines SplineOrder = 2

Wednesday, February 2, 2011







 C^2 splines SplineOrder = 3



Domain summary



Domain summary

meshSize[d] = numberOfControlPoints[d] SplineOrder







meshSize[d] = numberOfControlPoints[d] SplineOrder

offset[d] = 0.5 * (SplineOrder - 1) *
gridSpacing[d]

control point grid is centered on the domain



Domain summary

meshSize[d] = numberOfControlPoints[d] SplineOrder

offset[d] = 0.5 * (SplineOrder - 1) * gridSpacing[d]

control point grid is centered on the domain

The domain interval is right open-ended, i.e.





B-spline transform domain changes



Proposed interface change:

transform->SetTransformDomainOrigin(origin);
transform->SetTransformDomainPhysicalDimensions(dimensions);
transform->SetTransformDomainDirection(direction);
transform->SetTransformDomainMeshSize(meshSize);

This seems to me a much more B-spline-novice friendly interface as the B-spline domain is continuous over a certain finite domain and a typical user can intuit a uniform, rectilinear mesh uniformly placed over that domain of interest (perhaps more FEM-like?).

OLD transform->SetControlPointGridSpacing(something) transform->SetControlPointGridOrigin(something) etc

These changes would seemingly obviate the need for a transform initializer except for a "bug" pointed out by Hans Johnson. Since the number of control points in a specific direction directly influences the regularization in the direction, it's important that the B-spline control point grid is placed relative to the image *as it resides in physical space*.



These changes would seemingly obviate the need for a transform initializer except for a "bug" pointed out by Hans Johnson. Since the number of control points in a specific direction directly influences the regularization in the direction, it's important that the B-spline control point grid is placed relative to the image *as it resides in physical space*.

Example: current behavior of the initializer

These changes would seemingly obviate the need for a transform initializer except for a "bug" pointed out by Hans Johnson. Since the number of control points in a specific direction directly influences the regularization in the direction, it's important that the B-spline control point grid is placed relative to the image *as it resides in physical space*.

Example: current behavior of the initializer



3x4 mesh, quadratic splines, isotropic regularization



These changes would seemingly obviate the need for a transform initializer except for a "bug" pointed out by Hans Johnson. Since the number of control points in a specific direction directly influences the regularization in the direction, it's important that the B-spline control point grid is placed relative to the image *as it resides in physical space*.

Example: current behavior of the initializer



3x4 mesh, quadratic splines, isotropic regularization itkPermuteAxesImageFilter

direction cosines change but the image resides in physical space precisely as before



These changes would seemingly obviate the need for a transform initializer except for a "bug" pointed out by Hans Johnson. Since the number of control points in a specific direction directly influences the regularization in the direction, it's important that the B-spline control point grid is placed relative to the image *as it resides in physical space*.

Example: current behavior of the initializer



3x4 mesh, quadratic splines, isotropic regularization

itkPermuteAxesImageFilter

direction cosines change but the image resides in physical space precisely as before



4x3 mesh, quadratic splines, anisotropic regularization



These changes would seemingly obviate the need for a transform initializer except for a "bug" pointed out by Hans Johnson. Since the number of control points in a specific direction directly influences the regularization in the direction, it's important that the B-spline control point grid is placed relative to the image *as it resides in physical space*.

Example: current behavior of the initializer



3x4 mesh, quadratic splines, isotropic regularization

itkPermuteAxesImageFilter

direction cosines change but the image resides in physical space precisely as before



4x3 mesh, quadratic splines, anisotropic regularization

To remedy this, we have introduced a new B-spline transform initializer which takes the n-D fixed image and calculates its location and pose based on the location of its 2^D corners.



One of the other B-spline related issues we are hoping to address is the time it takes to perform image registration. Currently, various sampling strategies and large memory overhead are used to trim down the time it takes to perform registration. The following is another possibility.





One of the other B-spline related issues we are hoping to address is the time it takes to perform image registration. Currently, various sampling strategies and large memory overhead are used to trim down the time it takes to perform registration. The following is another possibility.



This is how the B-spline transform is currently evaluated via the transform point function:



One of the other B-spline related issues we are hoping to address is the time it takes to perform image registration. Currently, various sampling strategies and large memory overhead are used to trim down the time it takes to perform registration. The following is another possibility.



This is how the B-spline transform is currently evaluated via the transform point function:

```
outputPoint = transform->TransformPoint( inputPoint );
```



One of the other B-spline related issues we are hoping to address is the time it takes to perform image registration. Currently, various sampling strategies and large memory overhead are used to trim down the time it takes to perform registration. The following is another possibility.





One of the other B-spline related issues we are hoping to address is the time it takes to perform image registration. Currently, various sampling strategies and large memory overhead are used to trim down the time it takes to perform registration. The following is another possibility.



This is how the B-spline transform is currently evaluated via the transform point function:

outputPoint = transform->TransformPoint(inputPoint);

The set of neighborhood control points is determined.
 For this example, since this is using quadratic splines in
 2-D, the total number of control points is (SplineOrder
 + 1)^D = 9 non-zero weight control point contributions.



One of the other B-spline related issues we are hoping to address is the time it takes to perform image registration. Currently, various sampling strategies and large memory overhead are used to trim down the time it takes to perform registration. The following is another possibility.



This is how the B-spline transform is currently evaluated via the transform point function:

outputPoint = transform->TransformPoint(inputPoint);

The set of neighborhood control points is determined.
 For this example, since this is using quadratic splines in
 2-D, the total number of control points is (SplineOrder
 + 1)^D = 9 non-zero weight control point contributions.

2. The weighted sum of the control point values (which

are determined based on the location of the input point) is returned to give the output point.



One of the other B-spline related issues we are hoping to address is the time it takes to perform image registration. Currently, various sampling strategies and large memory overhead are used to trim down the time it takes to perform registration. The following is another possibility.



This is how the B-spline transform is currently evaluated via the transform point function:

outputPoint = transform->TransformPoint(inputPoint);

1. The set of neighborhood control points is determined. For this example, since this is using quadratic splines in 2-D, the total number of control points is **(SplineOrder**

+ 1)^D = 9 non-zero weight control point contributions.

2. The weighted sum of the control point values (which are determined based on the location of the input point) is returned to give the output point.

Most commonly people use cubic B-splines in 3-D which means that for *each* input point, one has to calculate **4x4x4=64** B-spline weights! Storing the weights is currently used but this is a huge memory cost alternative.

Fortunately there is a faster way which we currently use in ANTs for our DMFFD image registration. It is also used in our previous ITK contributions (itkBSplineScatteredDataPointSetToImageFilter, itkBSplineControlPointImageFilter). We do not have to resort to a large memory overhead and we can also sample the metric at each voxel in the entire image with speeds comparable to a Demon's type implementation.





Fortunately there is a faster way which we currently use in ANTs for our DMFFD image registration. It is also used in our previous ITK contributions (itkBSplineScatteredDataPointSetToImageFilter, itkBSplineControlPointImageFilter). We do not have to resort to a large memory overhead and we can also sample the metric at each voxel in the entire image with speeds comparable to a Demon's type implementation.



Suppose instead of evaluating individual points, we sample the transform in its entirety as an **itkImageIterator** would traverse the image. To see what would that give us, we look at the actual B-spline equation.



Fortunately there is a faster way which we currently use in ANTs for our DMFFD image registration. It is also used in our previous ITK contributions (itkBSplineScatteredDataPointSetToImageFilter, itkBSplineControlPointImageFilter). We do not have to resort to a large memory overhead and we can also sample the metric at each voxel in the entire image with speeds comparable to a Demon's type implementation.



Suppose instead of evaluating individual points, we sample the transform in its entirety as an **itkImageIterator** would traverse the image. To see what would that give us, we look at the actual B-spline equation.

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \phi_{i,j} B_j(v) B_i(u)$$



Fortunately there is a faster way which we currently use in ANTs for our DMFFD image registration. It is also used in our previous ITK contributions (itkBSplineScatteredDataPointSetToImageFilter, itkBSplineControlPointImageFilter). We do not have to resort to a large memory overhead and we can also sample the metric at each voxel in the entire image with speeds comparable to a Demon's type implementation.



Suppose instead of evaluating individual points, we sample the transform in its entirety as an **itkImageIterator** would traverse the image. To see what would that give us, we look at the actual B-spline equation.

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \phi_{i,j} B_j(v) B_i(u)$$

Since v is constant along the direction of the first image axis, the weight $B_i(u)$ is also constant so the term in green above can be "collapsed" such that for the entire length of the image sampling where v is constant, we only have to evaluate a 1-D B-spline with the set of ϕ'_i serving as "pseudo-control" points. This relationship is recursive and extends to *n*-D. In fact, as mentioned, this is what we do in itkBSplineScatteredDataPointSetToImageFilter. This would also facilitate contributing our own DMFFD-brand of Bspline image registration which is also multi-threaded so Jacobian calculations are much faster.



Fortunately there is a faster way which we currently use in ANTs for our DMFFD image registration. It is also used in our previous ITK contributions (itkBSplineScatteredDataPointSetToImageFilter, itkBSplineControlPointImageFilter). We do not have to resort to a large memory overhead and we can also sample the metric at each voxel in the entire image with speeds comparable to a Demon's type implementation.



Suppose instead of evaluating individual points, we sample the transform in its entirety as an **itkImageIterator** would traverse the image. To see what would that give us, we look at the actual B-spline equation.

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \phi_{i,j} B_j(v) B_i(u)$$

Since v is constant along the direction of the first image axis, the weight $B_i(u)$ is also constant so the term in green above can be "collapsed" such that for the entire length of the image sampling where v is constant, we only have to evaluate a 1-D B-spline with the set of ϕ'_i serving as "pseudo-control" points. This relationship is recursive and extends to *n*-D. In fact, as mentioned, this is what we do in itkBSplineScatteredDataPointSetToImageFilter. This would also facilitate contributing our own DMFFD-brand of Bspline image registration which is also multi-threaded so Jacobian calculations are much faster.



Fortunately there is a faster way which we currently use in ANTs for our DMFFD image registration. It is also used in our previous ITK contributions (itkBSplineScatteredDataPointSetToImageFilter, itkBSplineControlPointImageFilter). We do not have to resort to a large memory overhead and we can also sample the metric at each voxel in the entire image with speeds comparable to a Demon's type implementation.



Suppose instead of evaluating individual points, we sample the transform in its entirety as an **itkImageIterator** would traverse the image. To see what would that give us, we look at the actual B-spline equation.

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \qquad \boldsymbol{\phi'_i} \qquad B_i(u)$$

Since v is constant along the direction of the first image axis, the weight $B_i(u)$ is also constant so the term in green above can be "collapsed" such that for the entire length of the image sampling where v is constant, we only have to evaluate a 1-D B-spline with the set of ϕ_i serving as "pseudo-control" points. This relationship is recursive and extends to *n*-D. In fact, as mentioned, this is what we do in itkBSplineScatteredDataPointSetToImageFilter. This would also facilitate contributing our own DMFFD-brand of Bspline image registration which is also multi-threaded so Jacobian calculations are much faster.



Other discussion points

Other discussion points

Still numerous bugs on tracker: some very tricky. some quite trivial.
- Still numerous bugs on tracker: some very tricky. some quite trivial.
- Time series: worth it to put an individual with experience in video analysis on this?

- Still numerous bugs on tracker: some very tricky. some quite trivial.
- Time series: worth it to put an individual with experience in video analysis on this?
- Linear registration performance: evaluated ANTs against FSL on relatively difficult data and results were nearly identical. However, we are aware of isolated cases that require more parameter tuning. We agree strongly with Hans.

- Still numerous bugs on tracker: some very tricky. some quite trivial.
- Time series: worth it to put an individual with experience in video analysis on this?
- Linear registration performance: evaluated ANTs against FSL on relatively difficult data and results were nearly identical. However, we are aware of isolated cases that require more parameter tuning. We agree strongly with Hans.

Surface analysis and normalization: how much interest?

- Still numerous bugs on tracker: some very tricky. some quite trivial.
- Time series: worth it to put an individual with experience in video analysis on this?
- Linear registration performance: evaluated ANTs against FSL on relatively difficult data and results were nearly identical. However, we are aware of isolated cases that require more parameter tuning. We agree strongly with Hans.
- Surface analysis and normalization: how much interest?
- Still need to work on DTI. How much interest?

CSV file reader/writer





A starter project for one of our developers, Shreyas.



A starter project for one of our developers, Shreyas.

Motivation: read landmarks and help us organize our evaluation work. Generally useful?



A starter project for one of our developers, Shreyas.

Motivation: read landmarks and help us organize our evaluation work. Generally useful?

Is currently in Gerrit.

Pipeline: 4D Processing + SCCA

